

Why is $\text{curl}(\mathbf{F})$ "circulation per area" at (x,y) ? [Andrew Critch]
Math 53

I will explain this (very sketchily!) in the case of a tiny square without using or proving Green's Theorem, since I want to use this intuition to justify Green's Theorem.

Say $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^2 . Let

D be a tiny ϵ -by- ϵ square at a point (x,y)

(see figure). First, we need two approximations*

$$Q(x+\epsilon, y) - Q(x, y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \begin{pmatrix} Q_x(x, y) \\ Q_y(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (x+\epsilon)-x \\ y-y \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore, omitting " (x,y) " where appropriate,

$$\boxed{Q(x+\epsilon, y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Q + \epsilon Q_x}, \text{ and similarly}$$

$$\boxed{P(x, y+\epsilon) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P + \epsilon P_y}$$

Write ∂D as $\underline{C_1} + \underline{C_2} - \underline{C_3} - \underline{C_4}$, as shown.

* Using the Linear Approximation Formula for gradients.

I have taken this approach because I think it is the most physically intuitive, and illustrates the power of approximation when used correctly.

Now, let's approximate $\oint_{\partial D} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ using a Riemann sum with only one term for each C_i :

$$\sum_{C_1} P dx + Q dy \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} P(x, y) dx \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle + Q(x, y) dy \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle = \boxed{\varepsilon P}$$

$$\sum_{C_2} P dx + Q dy \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} P(x+\varepsilon, y) dx \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle + Q(x+\varepsilon, y) dy \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle = \varepsilon Q(x+\varepsilon, y) \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \boxed{\varepsilon(Q + \varepsilon Q_x)}$$

$$\sum_{C_3} P dx + Q dy \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} P(x, y+\varepsilon) dx \langle \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rangle + Q(x, y+\varepsilon) dy \langle \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rangle = \varepsilon P(x, y+\varepsilon)$$

$$\sum_{C_4} P dx + Q dy \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} P(x, y) dx \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle + Q(x, y) dy \langle \frac{0}{\varepsilon} \rangle = \boxed{\varepsilon Q} \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \boxed{\varepsilon(P + \varepsilon P_y)}$$

$$\text{circ}_{\mathbf{F}}(D) = \oint_{\partial D} P dx + Q dy \stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \varepsilon P + \varepsilon(Q + \varepsilon Q_x) - \varepsilon(P + \varepsilon P_y) - \varepsilon Q = \varepsilon^2(Q_x - P_y) = \boxed{\text{area}(D) \cdot \text{scurl}(\mathbf{F})(x, y)},$$

and in fact $\text{scurl}(\mathbf{F})(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{circ}_{\mathbf{F}}(D)}{\text{area}(D)}$.

